

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	_ATTORNEY_DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,666	09/28/2001	Suzanne De La Monte	0609.4370003/RWE/FRC	3650
26111 7:	590 08/08/2003			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
	NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. SHINGTON, DC 20005		MCGARRY, SEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635 DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,666	DE LA MONTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean R McGarry	1635	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears n the cover sheet with the c	rresp ndence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practi			
Disp sition of Claims	nlication		
4) Claim(s) <u>17-32 and 34</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•		
	in nom consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>17,18,20-30,32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 31</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2001 is/a		to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment(s)			
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>9</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) ignment .	

Application/Control Number: 09/964,666

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 34 depends from claims 32. Claim 32 is drawn to a composition. Claim 34 recites "The method of claim 32" which renders the claim indefinite since claim 32 is not a method.

Claims 17, 18, 20-30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de la Monte et al [WO 94/23756].

The instant invention is drawn to various antisense based compounds targeted to to an mRNA that corresponds to nucleotides 150-1139 of SEQ ID NO: 1. The compounds are antisense oligonucleotides, ribozymes, triplex forming oligonucleotides and external guide sequences.

The de la Monte reference is drawn to the same target nucleic acid as the instant invention. The disclosure of de la Monte et al teaches making the same molecules as the instant invention but do not limit the target range to nucleotides 150-1139 of instant SEQ ID NO: 1. It is noted that the work of de la Monte et al is that of the instant inventors where it has been asserted in the instant specification that there were sequencing errors in WO 94/23756 where the instant sequence SEQ ID NO: 1 corrects those sequencing errors. However, it was clearly taught in applicants earlier document

Application/Control Number: 09/964,666

Art Unit: 1635

[Wo 94/23756] to make the same molecules now claimed across the entire mRNA of the "incorrect sequence" reported in the WO patent. However it is noted that a comparison (see attached sequence alignment of the instant SEQ ID NO: 1 and its corresponding sequence in WO 94/23756) of the sequence in WO 94/23756 clearly shows that there is sufficient similarity in the sequences that, by following the teachings of WO 94/23756, one would clearly have made antisense compounds as instantly claimed with the region instantly recited. Further it is noted that WO 94/23756 indicates at page 56 and 85 that a deposit of the AD10-7-DH1 was made to the ATCC under accession number 69262 which is the source of the errored sequence in WO 94/23756 and the "corrected" sequence of the instant application (see page 5 of the instant specification). Since the teachings (see pages 46-56, and claims 73-88, for example) of de la Monte et al parallel those of the instant specification in the design and production of the claimed antisense oligonucleotides, ribozymes, triplex forming oligonucleotides, and external guide sequences and since the sequence reported as "corrected" in the instant specification is so similar to that disclosed as errored in WO 94/23756 and further where the source of the correct sequence was available at the time of invention, one in the art would clearly have made antisense oligonucleotides, ribozymes, triplex forming oligonucleotides, and external guide sequences within the region instantly recited. The region instantly recited deletes the first 149 nucleotides of the target nucleic acid and has been eliminated as a target solely because it was not incorrectly sequenced (see page 25 of the instant specification, for example). The teachings of de la Monde et al clearly teach making the compounds over the entire target sequence and

Application/Control Number: 09/964,666

Art Unit: 1635

clearly some of these would fall within the range instantly recited (see pages 46-56 and claims 73-88, for example).

The invention as a whole would therefore have been *prima facie* obvious to one in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (703)305-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SRM August 6, 2003

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER